

VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Conceptual Proposal **Final Proposal** **Date** 12/9/13

Contract ID 130125-F01 **Job No.** J6I2412

County St. Charles **Route** 70 **Original Contract Amount** \$12,409,196.96

Contractor Millstone Bangert, Inc. **By** Millstone Bangert, Inc.

Designed By _____ **Phone** 636-949-0038

VECP# 13-59 (to be completed by C.O.) **VECP** **or** **PDVECP**

1. Description of existing requirements and proposed change(s). Advantages/Disadvantages

The plans show the existing approach slabs being removed to the same limits as the roadway removals on MRMD. Subsequent to inspecting the condition of the approach slabs, we propose to leave the existing approach slabs in place and extend the approach slabs to the proposed widening limits. Attached is a plan sheet highlighting the widening limits in blue and the portions of the existing approach slabs to be used in place are colored in orange.

2. Estimate of reduction in construction costs. \$32,271.40

3. Prediction of any effects the proposed change(s) will have on other department costs, such as maintenance and operations.
None

4. Anticipated date for submittal of detailed change(s) of items required by Section 104.6 of the Specifications.

(date)

5. Deadline for issuing a change order to obtain maximum cost reduction, noting the effect of contract completion time or delivery schedule.

_____ (date) _____ (effect)

6. Dates of any previous or concurrent submission of the same proposal.

(date and/or dates)

Additional Comments:

**** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT ****

Comments: I recommend the approval of this proposal as a PDVECP. The existing Bridge Approach slab was in good condition and after checking with MoDOT Bridge, there was no specific reason to build the Bridge Approach slabs where we did not widen the bridge.

Submitted By Resident Engineer

12/10/2013

Date

Comments:

Approval Recommended _____
 Rejection Recommended _____
District Engineer Date

Comments:

Approval Recommended _____
 Rejection Recommended _____
Federal Highway Administration Date
Required for FHWA Full Oversight Projects

Comments:

Approval _____
 Rejection _____
State Construction and Materials Engineer Date

